# **Renewable Energy**

## ECE 111 Introduction to ECE Week #5


Please visit Bison Academy for corresponding lecture notes, homework sets, and solutions

## **Recap from Lecture #4:**

- Global CO2 levels are going up
- Global temperatures are going up
- The Arctic is melting

## This has happened before

- The results were not good
- Permian Extinction:
  - Everything bigger than a mouse became extinct
  - Took 10 million years to recover
- Planet Venus:
  - Runaway global warming
  - Planet never recovered



## Can anything be done?

If the causes are not man-made

• We're hosed

If the causes are man-made

• We can do something about it

https://www.ourworldindata.org/co2-emissions https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/global-co2-emissions-from-energy-combusti on-and-indistrial-processes-1900-2022



## **Sources of CO2 Emissions**

2021: 37.12GT (world)


- Coal: 15.5GT (41.7% world total)
- Other: 0.7GT
- Cement: 1.7GT
- Gas: 7.9GT
- Oil: 11.8GT

carbonbrief.org/analysis-global-co2-emissions-from-fossil-fuels-hit-record-high-in-2022/

#### 2021: 6.340GT (USA)

- Agriculture: 10%
- Transportation: 28%
- Electric Power: 25%
- Industry: 23%
- Commercial & Residential: 13%

epa.gov/ghgemissions/sources-greenhouse-gas-emissions



## How to Move Away from Fossil Fuels

Solar Energy

- Produce electricity using photovoltaic panels
- Also provides jobs for ECE majors

Wind Energy

- Produce electricity using the wind
- Also provides jobs for ECE majors

Electric Vehicles

- Transportation without CO2 emissions
- Also provides jobs for ECE majors

LED Light Bulbs

- Reduce electricity demand by 40%
- Also provides jobs for ECE majors



## Why major in Electrical, Computer, or Software Engineering?

photo: Electroboom on YouTube

Make money

• Almost guaranteed entry into the middle class

Have a lot of fun building toys that

- Make people's lives better, and
- May save the planet

If you care about the planet and your kids and grandkids, ECE is a good major.

If you don't care about the planet, your kids, or your grandkids, ECE is still a good major.



## In this lecture, we'll cover

photo: Pixel4k.com

- Determine the energy production of a solar panel
- Determine the energy production of a wind turbine
- Is solar energy good investment in Fargo from a purely financial standpoint?
  - Do residential solar panels pay for themselves in 7 years or less?
- Is wind energy good investment in Fargo from a purely financial standpoint?
  - Do industrial-size wind turbines pay for themselves in 7 years or less?



## 16kW Solar Energy in Fargo

- Is this a good investment?
- How long does it take for the solar panels to pay for itself?

Specifications:

- 16kW Solar Panel System
- \$18,999 as of July 3, 2023



1.6kW-32kWatt Solar Panels DIY Grid Tie Kit with Microinverter & Racking System

Condition:	New		
System Power:	16KW	~	
Quantity:	1 Last One		
Price:	JS \$18,999.00		Buy It Now
	124.77 for 24 months with ayPal Credit*	1	Add to cart
		C	♥ Add to watchlist

Questions:

- How much energy will it produce on an hourly basis for April 2023?
- What is the total amount of energy these will produce in kWh?,
- How many pounds of coal would this offset, and
- How long will you have to wait for these panels to pay for themselves assuming
  - You live in Moorhead Minnesota (and have net metering), or
  - You live in Fargo, North Dakota (and do not)


## NDAWN

Go to the NDSU NDAWN web site:

- https://ndawn.ndsu.nodak.edu/
- Click on Weather Data, Hourly

Select Fargo North Dakota, Solar Radiation for April 2018

Select Metric



		NDAWN » H	Hourly Weather Data
IDAWN IELP	Hourly Weather	Data	
EATHER DATA	Get more information about data	summarization	
Current Conditions	Table Man		
Hourly	Table Map		
Daily	Stations:	Variables (?):	Time period:
Weekly Monthly Yearly	Eldred, MN 2W (1995-) Emerado 2E (2021-) Epping 2SE (2019-) Fairfield 2W (2023-) Fargo NW (1990-)	<ul> <li>Air Temp - Avg Relative Humidity - Avg Bare Soil Temp - Avg Turf Soil Temp - Avg Wind Speed - Avg</li> </ul>	Jump to hourly table for:           yesterday         last 3 days           last 7 days         last 10 days           last 2 weeks         last 4 weeks
NWS Daily Normals	Fayette 3W (2023-) Fingal 4W (2001-)	Wind Speed - Max Wind Direction - Avg	OR
NWS Monthly Normals Monthly Report Deep Soil Temperatures Soil Moisture	Finley 1NNW (2014-) Forest River 7WNW (1991-) Fort Yates 2W (2015-) Fortuna 4N (2019-) Four Bears 5NW (2023-) Fox, MN 4NE (2016-) Foxhome, MN 4E (2023-) Froid, MT 5S (2015-)	Wind Direction Std Dev - Avg Solar Radiation - Total Rainfall - Total Barometric Pressure - Avg Dew Point - Avg Wind Chill - Avg Air Temp at 9 Meters - Avg Relative Humidity at 9 Meters - Avg	Enter begin and end dates (YYYY-MM-DD): Begin date: 2023-04-01 End date: 2023-04-30 Get table

#### Open the CVS file

• Copy and paste into Matlab:

```
MJ = [ start of matrix
    paste your data here
    ];
end of matrix
```




NDAWN » Hourly

#### NDAWN hourly data for April 1, 2023 to April 30, 2023

Key: E = Estimate; M = Missing; N/A = Not Available	[ English   Metric ]	Export CSV File
Click on column headings for definition		Print table
Click on graph icon in column headings (📈) for graph	Switch station: Fargo NW (1990-)	~

Date	Hour (CST)	Fargo Total Solar Rad (MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
2023-04-01	100	0.0
2023-0 <mark>4-</mark> 01	200	0.0
2023-04-01	300	0.0
2023-04-01	400	0.0



To convert to energy in kWh, you need to know

- The area of the solar panel,
- The efficiency of the solar cells, and
- The conversion from MJ to kWh

Assume 80 x 200W Ecoworthy solar panels to produce 16kW:


- Area =  $80 \times 1.480 \text{m} \times 0.670 \text{m} = 79.328 \text{ m}^2$
- Efficiency of solar cells = 21.5%
- 1MJ/m2 for one hour = 4.7376kWh

To convert from MJ per square meter for one hour to kWh, scale this data by

$$1\left(\frac{MJ}{m^2}\right)(79.328m^2)\left(\frac{kWh}{3.6MJ}\right)(0.215) = 4.7376kWh$$

#### Matlab Code

```
MJ = [ start of matrix
 paste the data here
        ];
 end of matrix
kWh = 4.7376*MJ;
size(kW)
   720
       1
there are 720 hours in April, 2018
hr = [1:720]';
plot(hr/24,kW)
xlabel('Day');
ylabel('Energy (kWh');
title('April 2023: Solar Energy');
>> kWh = sum(kW)
```



2428.1

## **Questions & Answers**

What is the hourly energy production for these panels?

• See the blue line in the above figure.

## What is the total energy production over the month? >> kWh = sum(kW)


2428.1

#### How many pounds of coal would this offset?

• 1.78 Pounds of North Dakota lignite coal produces 1kWh (Minnkota 2019 annual report for Young1 440MW coal plant)

>> Pounds = kWh \* 1.78

Pounds = 4322.0 pounds of coal per month




	Young 1	Young 2	Coyot
Gross generation – kWh	2,049,562,000	3,149,525,000	2,182,257,00
Net generation - kWh	1,834,096,000	2,871,092,000	2,052,712,00
Station service - kWh	215,466,000	278,433,000	129,545,00
Hours online	8,204	7,044	5,95
Hours offine	556	1,716	2,80
Availability - percent	93.7	80.4	67.
Average net generation - kW	224,000	408,000	345,00
Coal burned - tons	1,612,376	2,499,545	1,701,98
Fuel oil burned - gallons	646,834	699,410	569,13
II Sector	-	AL BRI	-
2019 Minnkota Annual R	eport	1000	

## How long will you have to wait for these panels to pay for themselves?

It depends upon where you live, who your utility provider is, and what plan you're on.

Option #1: Assume

- You live in Moorhead, MN (across the river from Fargo, ND) and get your energy from Xcel Energy.
- You have net metering. This means you buy and sell electricity at the same price.
- You sign up for time-of-day metering. This means the price of electricity is:
  - \$0.15340/kWh 9am to 9pm
  - \$0.02559/kWh other times



#### Comments:

- In April, 2023, you would produce \$355.25 in revenue
- It would take 4.45 years for the panels to pay for themselves
- This is a good investment from a purely economic standpoint

Less time if you include 20% tax credit to install More time if you include installation costs

#### In Matlab


```
>> kW = 4.7376 * MJ;
>> Time = [1:720]';
>> hr = mod(Time, 24);
>> peak = (hr >= 9) .* (hr < 21);
>> offpeak = 1 - peak;
>> Price = peak*0.15340 + offpeak*0.02559;
>> Dollars = sum(Price .* kW)
Dollars = 355.2553
>> Months = 18999 / Dollars
Months = 53.4799
>> Years = Months / 12
Years = 4.4567
```

Option #2: You live in Fargo, ND

- Utility provide is Cass County Electric where you
  - pay \$0.11046 / kWh for electricity you use, and
  - get \$0.028 / kWh for electricity you put back on the grid.

Assume

- You use half of the electricity you produce at the time you produce it
- The net value of electricity is \$0.06923 / kWh.



#### Comments:

#### In Matlab

- In North Dakota, the electricity you produce is worth \$168.10
- It takes 9.41 years for the panels to pay for themselves
  - Less time if you include 20% tax credit to install
  - More time if you include installation costs

>> kWh = sum(kW)
kWh = 2428.1
>> Dollars = kWh \* 0.06923
Dollars = 168.0974
>> Months = 18999 / Dollars
Months = 113.0238
>> Years = Months / 12
Years = 9.4186


This is *not* a good investment from a purely economic standpoint.

## But....

- 9.42 years is really close to 7 years.
  - If the price of solar panels drop by 26% (through tax breaks or price reduction), this will be a good investment from a purely economic standpoint.
  - If the efficiency of solar panels can be increased from 21.5% to 28,9%, this will be a good investment
  - If you take in to account environmental issues, you might still want to invest in solar panels.
  - If you lived somewhere that gets more sun than Fargo, ND, the payback time will drop as well.

Even in Fargo, ND, a place not known for being sunny, solar energy is almost viable today.

Reported utility-scale solar photovoltaic capital costs (2010-2017) dollars per watt (2017\$/W<sub>AC</sub>)



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration,

## What is the cost of solar energy on a \$ / kWh basis?

Assume comparable production every month for 20 years


$$\left(2428.1 \ \frac{kWh}{mo}\right) \left(12 \ \frac{mo}{y}\right) (20y) = 582,744 \ kWh$$

An initial cost of \$18,999 results in

 $\left(\frac{\$18,999}{582,744kWh}\right) = \$0.0326 / kWh$ 

In comparison, other forms of energy cost:

• Solar <sup>1</sup>	3.6 cents / kWh
• Gas <sup>1</sup>	3.8 cents / kWh
• Wind <sup>1</sup>	4.0 cents / kWh
• Coal/Steam <sup>1</sup>	7.6 cents / kWh
• Nuclear <sup>1</sup>	8.2 cents / kWh
• Biomass <sup>1</sup>	9.5 cents / kWh
• Coal w/ CCS <sup>2</sup>	25 - 32 cents / kWh
(1) Bank of Americam 2023 (2) U.S. Ene	rgy and Information Administration 2019 estimates



## Comments

Without any government subsidies, in 2023 the price of solar is almost the half the cost of coal without carbon capture (meaning all waste is dumped into the atmosphere). It's about 90% cheaper than coal with carbon capture - assuming carbon capture works as advertised (which is a big question).

That is one of the reasons you're seeing so many solar farms going up across the country (Mapleton, MN solar farm below)



## Wind Energy in Fargo:

https://www.vestas.com/en/products/offshore/V164-9-5-MW

Assume a Vestas V172-7.2MW Wind Turbine

- 7.2MW peak production
- 172m diameter blades
- The hub is 114m to 199m above the ground
- The cost is approximately \$9.36 million, including installation cost, tower, generators, etc.

Specifically, let's investigate

- How much energy would this wind turbine produce on an hour-by-hour basis if installed in Fargo, ND
- How many pounds of coal would this offset?
- How long you would have to wait until the wind turbine pays for itself (i.e. is it a good investment from a purely economic standpoint)




## Step 1: Get Wind Data (NDAWN)

- Going to the NDAWN site ( https://ndawn.ndsu.nodak.edu/)
- Select Fargo, ND, Average Wind Speed on an hourly basis
- Select metric units and download to a CVS file.
- Open the CVS file in Xcel and copy the last column

#### Paste into MATLAB as

```
Wind = [
< paste control V >
];
hr = [1:length(Wind)]';
plot(hr/24,Wind);
xlabel('Time (days)');
ylabel('Wind Speed (m/s)');
```



## Convert wind speed @ 180m

Wind at 180m is more than on the ground

• Think of flying a kite

A rough approximation (wikipedia) is

$$v_w(h) = v_{10} \left(\frac{h}{h_{10}}\right)^{\alpha}$$

or, in other words, the wind speed at 180m would be approximately 2.2x the wind velocity recorded

Wind @ 180m = 2.2 \* Wind @ 2m;


#### **Convert Wind to kW (Power Curve)**

Wind (m/s)	03	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13+
kW	0	53	496	1,096	1,882	2,857	3,968	5,102	6,100	6,803	7,200

```
function [kW] = PowerCurve( Wind )
```


```
x = [3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]';
y = [0,53,496,1096,1882,2857,3968,5102,6100,6803,7200]';
B = [x.^3, x.^2, x, x.^0];
A = inv(B'*B)*B'*y;
kW = 0*Wind;
for i=1:length(Wind)
    if(Wind(i) < 3)
        kW(i) = 0;
    elseif(Wind(i) > 13)
        kW(i) = 7200;
    else
        kW(i) = [Wind(i)^3, Wind(i)^2, Wind(i), 1]*A;
    end
plot(x,y,'r',Wind,kW,'r.')
end
```

# If you plot wind speed at the turbine vs. kW, you get the wind / power relation >> X = [0:0.1:15]'; >> PowerCurve(X)



If you plot time vs. kW, you get the energy produced on an hour-by-hour basis

- >> kW = PowerCurve(Wind \* 2.2);
- >> hr = [1:length(kW)]';
- >> plot(hr/24,kW);
- >> xlabel('Day');
- >> ylabel('Power (kW)');



## Wind Results

The average output of this wind turbine over this time frame is less than 7.2MW

• The wind isn't always blowing

>> mean(kW)

ans = 3392.9

The total energy produced in one month is

 $kWh = \int kW \cdot dh$  $kWh = \sum kW \cdot hr$ 

>> kWh = sum(kW)

kWh = 2.4429e+006

### **Questions & Answers:**

#### How much energy would this wind turbine produce if installed in Fargo, ND?

This is the sum of the kWh produced over the month.

```
>> kWh = sum(kW)
kWh = 2,442,900
```

#### How many pounds of coal would this offset?

It takes 1.78 pounds of North Dakota lignite coal to produce 1kWh:

```
Pounds = kWh * 1.78
ans = 4,348,400
```

Each month, this wind turbine offsets 4,284,400 pounds of coal

#### How long would you have to wait for this wind turbine to pay for itself?

Option #1: Moorhead, Minnesota	Matlab Code
<ul> <li>Price of Electricity</li> <li>15.340 cents / kWh during peak demand</li> <li>2.559 cents / kWh off-peak</li> </ul> In the month of April, 2023 <ul> <li>\$253,530 is produced</li> </ul>	<pre>&gt;&gt; kW = PowerCurve(Wind * 2.2); &gt;&gt; Time = [1:length(kW)]'; &gt;&gt; hr = mod(Time, 24); &gt;&gt; Peak = (hr &gt;= 9) .* (hr &lt; 21); &gt;&gt; OffPeak = 1 - Peak; &gt;&gt; Price = 0.15340*Peak +</pre>
At this rate, it would take 3.07 years for the wind turbine to pay for itself	>> Months = 9.36e6 / Dollars Months = 36.9192
Wind energy is a very good investment from a purely economic standpoint.	>> Years = Months / 12 Years = 3.0766

Option #2: Fargo, North Dakota	Matlab Code
Assume • 6.923 cents / kWh (all times of day)	>> Price = 0.06923; >> Dollars = sum(kW * Price)
	Dollars = 1.6912e+005
\$169,120 is produced during the month	>> Months = 9.36e6 / Dollars
+ 1 0 7 , 1 - 0 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 1 · 0 · 0	Months = 55.3446
It takes 4.61 years for the wind turbine to	>> Years = Months / 12
pay for itself	Years = 4.6121
Less time if you get 15 cents / kWh	
• The national average price of electricity	


What is the cost / kWh to produce energy with wind?


- A 20-year life (typical for generators)
- The wind each month is similar to April, 2023

The cost per kWh is then

$$\left(2,442,900\,\frac{kWh}{mo}\right)(12mo)(20y) = 586,300,000\,kWh$$
$$\left(\frac{\$9,300,000}{586,300,000\,kWh}\right) = \$0.0159\,/kWh$$

- Solar<sup>1</sup> 3.6 cents / kWh
- $Gas^1$  3.8 cents / kWh
- Wind<sup>1</sup> 4.0 cents / kWh
- Coal/Steam<sup>1</sup> 7.6 cents / kWh
- Nuclear<sup>1</sup> 8.2 cents / kWh
- Biomass<sup>1</sup> 9.5 cents / kWh
- Coal w/  $CCS^2$  25 32 cents / kWh





#### How much energy could we produce with wind energy in North Dakota?

1.182TWh of electricity each year


- Enough to supply 25% of the nation's electricity needs
- National Renewable Energy Administration
- https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy00osti/28054.pdf

At \$0.1 / kWh, that would generate \$118 billion each year

- Equals \$157,000 per person in North Dakota,
- More than double the entire GDP for North Dakota

http://www.deptofnumbers.com/gdp/north-dakota/

• More if you consider that the average cost of electricity in the United States is \$0.15 / kWh



### What about Bird Deaths

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services

- Wind turbines kill about 234,000 birds per year
- This accounts for 0.01% of all bird deaths

Cause	Bird Deaths per year	% Total
Cats	2,400,000,000	73.08%
Building Glass	559,000,000	17.02%
Vehicles	214,500,000	6.53%
Poison	72,000,000	2.19%
Electrical Lines	25,500,000	0.78%
Communication Towers	6,600,000	0.20%
Electrocutions	5,600,000	0.17%
Oil Pits	750,000	0.02%
Wind Turbines	234,000	0.01%

## Is 90%+ Fossil-Free Possible?

https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/data-tools/real-time-electricity-tracker

### Other countries are doing it

- Sweden: 100%
- France: 98%
- Denmark: 89%
- Spain: 82%
- UK: 74%

If engineers in other countries can figuring it out, our engineers can too

#### **Energy Production by Source** 9/9/24 to 9/16/24 100% [ 100% 98% coal 90% 89% 80% 82% 74% 70% gas 60% 50% 40% 35% 30% nuclear 20% biomass hydro 10% wind solar 0% Sweden France Denmark Spain UK USA

## Summary

With Matlab and the internet, you can analyze data yourself.

• You don't have to rely upon experts

Matlab is a really useful tool. With it, you can

- Evaluate how much energy a solar array should produce
- Evaluate how much energy a wind turbine should produce

At present

- Solar energy is one of the least expensive ways to generate electricity.
  - And getting cheaper year by year
- Wind energy is also one of the least expensive ways to produce electricity.

This is good news for ECE majors

• We have jobs.

It's also good news for the planet

• Electricity without using fossil fuels is possible and it's economic