First and Second Order Approximations

ECE 461/661 Controls Systems

Jake Glower - Lecture #11

Please visit Bison Academy for corresponding lecture notes, homework sets, and solutions

Motivation:

- Each pole is an energy state
- If you have 10 energy states, you get a 10th order transfer function
- Example: 10-stage RC filter with RC = 1

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{s^{10} + 19s^9 + 153s^8 + 680s^7 + 1820s^6 + 3003s^5 + 3003s^4 + 1716s^3 + 495s^2 + 55s + 1}\right)X$$

We need a model which is

- Simpler, and
- Still fairly accurate
 - Similar step response

Dominant Pole

• One or two poles tend to dominate the response of a system

If you

- Match the dominant pole, and
- Match the DC gain

You get a

- Simpler model (1 or 2 poles),
- Which is fairly accurate (same dominant pole)

Definitions:

Dominant Pole(s):

- The pole which dominates the step response of a system
- The pole which is closest to s=0 (usually)

Transfer Function: G(s)

- The differential equation relating the input (X) and the output (Y)

DC Gain:

• The gain of G(s) at s=0

2% Settling Time:

• The time it takes the transients to decay to 2% of their initial value

Overshoot:

• The maximum of a step response divided by it's steady-state value.

Damping Ratio

• Cosine of the angle of the dominant pole

Which Pole is Dominant?

Example: Find the step response of

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{2000}{(s+1)(s+10)(s+100)}\right)$$

$$Y(s) = \left(\frac{2000}{(s+1)(s+10)(s+100)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$$

$$Y(s) = \left(\frac{2}{s}\right) + \left(\frac{-2.222}{s+1}\right) + \left(\frac{0.2469}{s+10}\right) + \left(\frac{0.0022}{s+100}\right)$$

$$y(t) = (2 - 2.222e^{-t} + 0.2469e^{-10t} - 0.0022e^{-100t})u(t)$$

Note that

- The DC gain is 2 (first term)
- The second term dominates the transient response
 - Larger than the other terms
 - Lasts longer than the other terms

Real Dominant Pole: $G(s) = \left(\frac{2000}{(s+1)(s+10)(s+100)}\right) \approx \left(\frac{2}{s+1}\right)$

- Keep the dominant pole (s = -1)
- Match the DC gain (2)



Complex Dominant Poles:

•
$$G(s) = \left(\frac{2,000,000}{(s+1+j2)(s+1-j2)(s+10)(s+50+j200)(s+50-j200)}\right) \approx \left(\frac{4.70588}{(s+1+j2)(s+1-j2)}\right)$$

- Keep the poles at -1 +/- j2
- Match the DC gain



Time Scaling

In this class, the dominant pole is usually close to 1.000

• Good numerical properties

This implies time scaling

• The x-axis could be milliseconds rather than seconds

LaPlace transforms assume all functions are of the form

 $y = \exp(st)$

If you change time from seconds to milliseconds

 $\tau = 1000t$

the pole becomes 1000x smaller

$$y = \exp\left(\frac{s}{1000}\ 1000t\right) = \exp\left(\frac{s}{1000}\ \tau\right)$$

First-Order Approximations:

- Describe the step response by inspection
- Look at the dominant pole and the DC gain

Generic 1st-order system: Two degrees of freedom

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{a}{s+b}\right)$$

DC Gain: The DC gain is the gain at s = 0: $DC = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$

2% Settling Time:

$$e^{-bt} = 0.02$$
$$t = \frac{4}{b}$$



Example: 10th Order System

Sketch the step response of:

 $Y = \left(\frac{1}{s^{10} + 19s^9 + 153s^8 + 680s^7 + 1820s^6 + 3003s^5 + 3003s^4 + 1716s^3 + 495s^2 + 55s + 1}\right)X$

Solution: Find the DC gain

DC = evalfr(G10,0)
1.0000

$$DC = \left(\frac{1}{s^{10}+19s^9+153s^8+680s^7+1820s^6+3003s^5+3003s^4+1716s^3+495s^2+55s+1}\right)_{s=0} = 0$$

Find the dominant pole & the 2% settling time:

zpk(G10)

(s+3.911) (s+3.652) (s+3.247) (s+2.731) (s+2.149) (s+1.555) (s+1) (s+0.5339) (s+0.1981) (s+0.02234)

 $t_{2\%} = \frac{4}{0.02234} = 179.05$ seconds

Checking in Matlab:

>> t = [0:0.1:300]';
>> y10 = step(G10,t);
>> plot(t,y10);



As expected, the 10th-Order RC Filter has a DC gain of one and a 2% settling time of about 179 seconds

Going Backwards:

Give the step response, find G(s)

- The DC gain is 1.00
- The 2% settling time is 178 seconds (approximately).

This tells you that the dominant pole is



Second-Order Approximations:

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{ac}{s^2 + bs + c}\right) = \left(\frac{a\omega_n^2}{(s + \sigma + j\omega_d)(s + \sigma - j\omega_d)}\right)$$

- The real part of the dominant pole determines the 2% settling time
- The complex part of the pole determines the frequency of oscillation

Example: $G(s) = \left(\frac{200}{(s+2+j20)(s+2-j20)}\right)$



Second Order Approximations (take 2)

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{a\omega_n^2}{(s+\omega_n \angle \theta)(s+\omega_n \angle -\theta)}\right) = \left(\frac{a\omega_n^2}{s^2+2\zeta\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}\right)$$

The angle tells you the % Overshoot

• $\zeta = \cos \theta = \text{damping ratio}$

•
$$OS = \exp\left(\frac{-\pi\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)$$

zeta	Overshoot
1.0	0.00%
0.9	0.15%
0.8	1.52%
0.7	4.60%
0.6	9.48%
0.5	16.30%
0.4	25.38%
0.3	37.23%
0.2	52.66%
0.1	72.92%
0.0	100%



Example: Determine the step response of the following system by inspection:

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{2000}{(s+1+j2)(s+1-j2)(s+10)(s+50+j200)(s+50-j200)}\right)$$

Solution: The DC gain is 0.94

The dominant pole is at -1 + j2

- The 2% settling time will be 4 seconds (4/1)
- The frequency of oscillation will be 2 rad/sec
- The overshoot will be 20.79%

$$1 + j2 = 2.23 \angle 63.4^{0}$$

$$\zeta = \cos(63.4^{0}) = 0.447$$

$$OS = \exp\left(\frac{-\pi\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}}\right) = 20.79\%$$

The actual step response is:

```
G = zpk([],[-1+j*2,-1-j*2,-10,-50+j*200,-50-j*200],2e6);
t = [0:0.001:6]';
y = step(G,t);
DC = evalfr(G,0)
DC = 0.9412
plot(t,y,'b',[0,6],[1,1]*DC,'c--')
OS = max(y) / DC
OS = 1.2021
```



Finding G(s) from the step response

- DC gain = 0.94
- 2% Settling time = 2 seconds
- 20% overshoot

$$\zeta = 0.4536$$

$$\theta = \arccos(\zeta) = 63.02^{0}$$

$$s = -1 + j1.96$$

$$G(s) \approx \left(\frac{a}{(s+1+j1.96)(s+1-j1.96)}\right)$$

$$G(s) \approx \left(\frac{4.55}{(s+1+j1.96)(s+1-j1.96)}\right)$$

note: you only find the dominant pole



Summary

Usually, a transfer function has one or two poles that dominate the step response

- One: a real pole
- Two: a complex conjugate pair of poles

By looking at the dominant pole(s), you can pretty much tell how the system will behave.

• The other fast poles don't really matter that much

When you specify how the system should behave, you're actually specifying where the dominant pole(s) belong

• Again, the other fast poles don't really matter that much