# Kalman Filters NDSU ECE 463/663

Lecture #30

#### **Inst: Jake Glower**

Please visit Bison Academy for corresponding lecture notes, homework sets, and solutions

### Recap:

If all of the states are measured, you can use full-state feedback to control a dynamic system.

- Bass-Gura (pole placement) can be used to find the feedback gains
- LQR techniques can be used to find the feedback gains



If the states are not measured, they can be estimated with a full-order observer

- Bass-Gura can be used to find H
- LQR techniques can be used to find H



What if there is noise?

$$sX = AX + BU + Fv \qquad v = \eta(0, V^2)$$
$$Y = CX + w \qquad w = \eta(0, W^2)$$



H should reflect the amount of noise on the system:

- If the sensor noise is zero, H should be is large
- If the state-disturbances are zero, H should be small
- What is the "best" H if you have both?



## Kalman Filter

If "best" as minimizing the variance of the state error  $E\left(\left(X - \hat{X}\right)^2\right)$ 

the solution is a LQR observer where

$$Q = (FV)(FV)^T = F \cdot V^2 \cdot F^T$$
$$R = WW^T = W^2$$

An LQR observer designed with this value of Q and R is termed a *Kalman Filter*. (It's just a full-order observer with a specific feedback gain, H.)

Example: 4th Order Heat Equation

Suppose you have a 4-order heat equation with a large disturbance at the input.

$$Fv = Bv = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} v \qquad v \sim N(0, 1^2)$$

Determine the optimal observer with sensor noise:

 $w \sim N(0, W^2)$  sensor noise

- W = 0.01 Good sensors: noise 100x less than disturabances
- W = 0.1
- W = 1 Noisy Sensors

Case 1: Good Sensors (small noise)

 $\left( \right)$ 

0

0

1.0000

```
• W = 0.01
• V = 1
W = 0.01; % sensor noise
V = 1; % state disturbance (on U)
Q = F * V * V * F';
R = W * W;
H = lqr(A', C', Q, R)'
A8 = [A, zeros(4, 4); H*C, A - H*C];
B8 = [B F zeros(4,1); B zeros(4,1) H];
    Ref Nx
                         Ny
    1.0000 1.0000
                            0
         0
                  0
                            0
         0
                  0
                            \left( \right)
```

0

0 25.5559

0 8.0233

0 3.1287

0

0 18.4321 H shows up here



States and State Estimates with State and Sensor Noise:  $W \sim N(0, 0.01^2)$ ,  $V \sim N(0, 1^2)$ 



States and State Estimates.

Case 2: Not so Good Sensors (small noise)

• W = 0.1 • V = 1 W = 0.01; % sensor noise V = 1; % state disturbance (on U) Q = F\*V\*V\*F'; R = W\*W; H = lqr(A', C', Q, R)' 1.1169 1.2204 0.9184 0.6843

Note that the larger sensor noise has resulted in the observer gains being reduced.



States and State Estimates with State and Sensor Noise: Sensor Noise 10x larger

Case 3: Noisy Sensors

- W = 1
- V = 1

W = 1; % sensor noise V = 1; % state disturbance (on U) Q = F\*V\*V\*F'; R = W\*W; H = lqr(A', C', Q, R)' 0.0293 0.0417 0.0436 0.0427



States and State Estimates with State and Sensor Noise: Sensor Noise 100x larger

Note: Only the ratio of Q and R matter. If both disturnances are 10x smaller, you get the same observer gains (same Kalman filter gains)

```
W = 0.1; % sensor noise
V = 0.1; % state disturbance (on U)
Q = F*V*V*F';
R = W*W;
H = lqr(A', C', Q, R)'
H =
0.0293
0.0417
0.0436
0.0427
```



States and State Estimates with State and Sensor Noise: Both sensor and input noise scaled down 10x

#### Main Calling Script in Matlab

```
W = 0.01; % sensor noise
V = 1; % state disturbance (on U)
Q = F*V*V*F';
R = W*W;
H = lqr(A', C', Q, R)'
A8 = [A, zeros(4,4) ; H*C, A - H*C];
B8 = [B F zeros(4,1) ; B zeros(4,1) H];
t = [0:0.001:10]';
N = size(t);
X0 = zeros(8,1);
U = [ ones(N), randn(N)*V, randn(N)*W ];
C8 = eye(8,8);
D8 = zeros(8,3);
y = step3(A8, B8, C8, D8, t, X0, U );
plot(t,y)
```

```
function [ y ] = step3( A, B, C, D, t, X0, U )
T = t(2) - t(1);
[m, n] = size(C);
npt = length(t);
Az = expm(A*T);
Bz = B*T;
X = X0;
y = zeros(npt, m);
y(1,:) = (C*X + D * (U(1,:)'))';
for i=2:npt
    X = Az * X + Bz * (U(i, :)');
    Y = C*X + D * (U(i,:)');
    v(i,:) = Y';
   end
end
```